

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/029,479	10/21/1998	SARA LAVI	2290.00061(T	6118
	7:	590 03/27/2002			
	DR. D. Graeser LTD.			EXAMINER	
C/O The Polkinghorns 9003 Florin Way				WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
	Upper Marlboro, MD 20772			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632		

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







Interview Summary

Application No. 09/029,479

Applicant(s)

Lavi, S.

Examiner

Joseph T. Woitach

Group Art Unit 1632



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Joseph T. Woitach	(3)				
(2) Dvirah Graeser	(4)				
Date of Interview Mar 27, 2002	_				
Type: a)   Telephonic b)   Video Conference c)   Personal [copy is given to 1)   applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims					
Identification of prior art discussed:  None/					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or					
any other comments:					
Applicant contacted Examiner regarding a potential response after final to obviate the instant rejections of record. Upon review of the rejections of record and the present disclosure, Examiner noted that the evidence of record supported					
changes in PP2C activity, however the correlation was with					
means to ameliorate cancer. Specifically, it was noted that the art and specification supported that an increase in PP2C					
	ot a restoration of normal phenotype. It was unclear how this				
observation, a means to restore transfromed activity, prov	rides a nexus to the instantly claimed methodology drawn to				
restoring a normal phenotype.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is				
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).				
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached					

Ja Woilad

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.